the Defender™
CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE NEWS & VIEWS

11/09/22 • COVID › VIEWS

Pfizer Works to Fast-Track More Vaccines for Pregnant Moms, Despite Mounting Evidence Rushed COVID Shots Harmed Babies

Buoyed by the successful global marketing of its COVID-19 vaccines, Pfizer is teeing up to dominate the maternal vaccine market even as OB-GYNs on the front lines of maternal care sound the alarm about the COVID-19 shots' infanticidal fallout.

By **Children's Health Defense Team**

29



Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

On Nov. 1, Pfizer issued a press release about an investigational vaccine for pregnant women the company said will protect babies from respiratory syncytial virus (RSV).

Not coincidentally, RSV is the latest viral bugaboo to hit the headlines, with frantic news accounts of "overwhelmed" hospitals sounding eerily reminiscent of the early coronavirus fear-mongering.

Buoyed by the successful global marketing of its COVID-19 jabs — an estimated 49% of pregnant women worldwide reportedly views the vaccines favorably and almost 1 in 4 pregnant women in the U.S. took them — Pfizer is hoping to hit another home run with the RSV vaccine.

The vaccine maker said it intends to seek U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval by the end of the year.

The FDA, meanwhile, has been busy handing out "Breakthrough Therapy" designations to Pfizer as if they were papal dispensations, signaling a regulatory willingness to speed up approval not only for Pfizer's RSV vaccine but also for a maternal Group B Streptococcus (GBS) vaccine the company is developing.

To this expedient end, the FDA allowed Pfizer to "stop the [RSV] study short," halting enrollment at about 7,400 participants — though the trial was supposed to involve 10,000 pregnant women.

Researchers devoted to vaccine orthodoxy pay lip service to the need for an extra-high evidentiary bar for pregnancy vaccines — stating that such vaccines should not only prove they reduce illness, with minimal reactogenicity in the woman, the fetus and the neonate, but should also "demonstrate safety or lack of evidence of harm."

However, those turn out to be empty words.

In fact, the influenza and Tdap (tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis) vaccines that public health agencies have long recommended for pregnant women never underwent any clinical trial safety testing in that population, and the FDA never licensed those vaccines specifically for pregnant women.

Moreover, flu shots and Tdap shots have never even resulted in any statistically significant reduction in the outcomes they are supposed to address.

With the advent of Emergency Use Authorization COVID-19 vaccines, the FDA, Pfizer and other manufacturers barely made any pretense of assessing maternal safety, and likely "colluded together to conceal damaging data" about high rates of fetal death.

Other researchers, however — and even vaccine insiders — began to speak out in 2021.

For example, Canadian researchers writing in late 2021 worried that many of the systemic reactions commonly reported after COVID-19 vaccination might be "sufficient to affect fetal/neonatal development."

Those authors included vaccinologist Byram Bridle, Ph.D., who earlier in 2021 characterized the COVID-19 vaccines' disturbing biodistribution and accumulation in vital organs as "a big mistake," and neurology professor Dr. Steven Pelech, who expressed repeated concerns about myocarditis and the vaccines' risks to young people.

Now, as horrified pregnant women who took the COVID-19 jabs experience skyrocketing miscarriages, stillbirths and fetal malformations, they are wondering why regulators issued no warnings.

But as Pfizer's exuberant RSV press release and the FDA's complacent endorsement of clinical trial shortcuts suggest, vaccine makers and regulators not only intend to ignore or bulldoze even the most outsized safety signals but are clearly positioning themselves to go after pregnant women in an even bigger way.

'Quibbles' and questions

The details provided — or omitted — about Pfizer's RSV clinical trial exemplify manufacturers' and regulators' cavalier approach toward pregnant women.

Commenting on Pfizer's announcement, family physician Dr. Buzz Hollander — who clarified that he is predisposed to celebrate the new RSV vaccine offering — nonetheless noted a number of serious criticisms:

- Pfizer released "just a press release" but no data, making it "impossible to pick [the vaccine's declared efficacy] apart."
- Pfizer offered no explanation as to how it defined "severe" disease, its primary endpoint.
- A year into the trial, Pfizer fishily altered an important endpoint, defying a fundamental clinical trial design principle that "involves setting out in advance the endpoints that will be assessed."
- In Hollander's words, Pfizer "switched their secondary (critical!) endpoint of RSV hospitalizations to 360 days from 180 days… and then inspired my suspicion by not reporting any hospitalization data, interim or otherwise, in their breathless press release."
- Researchers have published only one small safety study for the RSV shot, about which Hollander said: "I quibble with studies finding >40% of placebo participants reporting systemic symptoms; when queried enticingly enough, half of us might recall a headache or some fatigue the day after our placebo shot, and that can bury a difference in real adverse reactions compared to the vaccine group."
- Curtailing study enrollment was unwise, Hollander said, because "bigger is better when it comes to … finding safety signals."
- Earlier this year, GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) "stopped cold" a three-times-bigger trial of a similar RSV vaccine for pregnant women after detecting a safety signal, yet there has been no discussion as to why Pfizer's maternal RSV vaccine is "fine" while GSK's was deemed "untouchable."

Hollander's modest conclusion: "We should have all learned by now that even the appearance of cutting corners in the vaccine approval process will carry its own unknown costs."

Readers reacting to Hollander's post were less circumspect. One acerbically remarked, "The problem here is Pfizer. They've paid billions in fines for shady practices and data manipulation. And that was before the Covid vaccines (for which they're indemnified)."

Another reader expressed his reservations even more succinctly: "Trust Pfizer numbers? You must be joking."

Adverse pregnancy outcomes after COVID vaccination — no joke

As Pfizer, with the FDA's help, tees itself up to "dominat[e] the maternal RSV vaccine market," OB-GYNs on the front lines of maternal care are stepping forth to sound the alarm about the COVID-19 shots' infanticidal fallout.

Dr. Kimberly Biss recently tweeted, "Since the vaccine rollout started, we have seen in our practice a decrease in new OB numbers, which would be infertility, by about 50%; we've also seen an increase in miscarriage rate by about 50%, and … probably about a 25% increase in abnormal pap smears as well as cervical malignancies."

Similarly, Dr. James Thorp, in multiple interviews, described an "off-the-charts" rise in sudden fetal death and other adverse outcomes, including fetal malformation and fetal cardiac arrest.

Asked to comment on information recently leaked from a California hospital, Thorp characterized the uptick in fetal deaths — from under 6 per 1,000 in 2020 to more than 29 per 1,000 following the rollout of COVID-19 injections — as being "way way beyond" what the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ordinarily would consider a safety signal.

Thorp published a preprint in September (along with co-authors who include Children's Health Defense's Megan Redshaw) describing "significantly more frequent" pregnancy-related adverse events reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System, or VAERS, after COVID-19 shots than in the aftermath of flu shots — which themselves are far from benign.

Cataloging significant increases in "miscarriage, fetal chromosomal abnormalities, fetal malformation, fetal cystic hygroma, fetal cardiac disorders, fetal arrhythmia, fetal cardiac arrest, fetal vascular mal-perfusion, fetal growth abnormalities, fetal abnormal surveillance, fetal placental thrombosis, low amniotic fluid, and fetal death/stillbirth" and also menstrual abnormalities, Thorp and co-authors called for a "worldwide moratorium on the use of COVID-19 vaccines in pregnancy."

For children who survive, Thorp suggested they may suffer from lifelong "vaccine-induced acquired immune deficiency syndrome."

In Scotland, meanwhile, the government ordered an investigation into the "spike in newborn baby deaths" in 2021 and 2022, an increase "larger than expected from chance alone."

Even in a gerrymandered study clearly designed to exonerate the COVID-19 shots during pregnancy — focusing on immediate reactions after a first or second dose and hampered by "limited perinatal outcome assessment" — researchers found that 4.4-7.5% of pregnant women reported obstetrical symptoms.

Careless and worse

As Substack writer Etana Hecht wrote last May, "The topic of pregnant and nursing moms getting vaccinated under encouragement and coercion is painful," particularly once one becomes aware of "how carelessly the most precious among us are being treated."

That carelessness is evident as we witness some of the same players involved in the COVID-19 vaccine fiasco now circling back around to help build the case for Pfizer's RSV vaccine.

That includes figures such as Dr. Robert Frenck, the Pfizer-beholden principal investigator of the Cincinnati Children's Hospital clinical trial that tested the company's COVID-19 shot in children. Frenck reported 12-year-old Maddie de Garay's serious vaccine injuries to the FDA as a mere "stomachache."

Graphs clearly show that none of the vaccines pushed on pregnant women are safe for babies or moms — but given that from one-fourth to one-half of pregnant women acquiesce to getting them, those who know the truth need to work even harder to get the word out.

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!
First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Children's Health Defense Team

Sign up for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and the Children's Health Defense. CHD is planning many strategies, including legal, in an effort to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those already injured. Your support is essential to CHD's successful mission.

Republishing Guidelines

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News

CDC, HHS Offer $1 Million for Research to Predict Vaccine 'Misinformation Trends'

Coca-Cola: American Academy of Pediatrics a 'Great Partner of Ours'

CHD Attorneys File Federal Lawsuit to Stop California Law That Punishes Doctors for COVID 'Misinformation'

MORE NEWS

Latest Views

McCullough: Pandemic Won't End Until Mass Vaccination Stops

Exclusive: 'Even Taking a Shower Can Be Difficult' Says Man Injured by Pfizer Vaccine



Gardasil's Long Shadow of Autoimmunity Confirmed — Again — by New Study

MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!
First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.
Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.
1234567890
Phone
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890
CONTINUE

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

30 Comments Login

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?
Name

Sort by Best 3

Rob DF • 24 days ago
I'm looking at a bottle of Cod Liver Oil softgels and there's a warning to pregnant women to consult with a physician before taking them... MRna jab? No problem!
14 • Reply • Share ›

Milk_Thistle → Rob DF • 23 days ago
I question why all vitamins and supplements say not FDA approved but as soon as a woman is pregnant her OB writes a script for prenatal vitamins. Not pregnant = vitamins and supplements are not proven to be any benefit. Pregnant = it's vital to take your prenatal vitamins. Huh, not good unless your pregnant. What's in those prenatal vitamins?
4 • Reply • Share ›

Louise → Milk_Thistle • 22 days ago
I'm no longer inclined to put any credence on the FDA's opinion, for obvious reasons. Better to read up ourselves on supplements so we know which are a waste of money and which have definite benefits.
3 • Reply • Share ›

Milk_Thistle → Louise • 22 days ago
Exactly! The FDA (food and drug administration) has no credibility to me either. Too much conflict of interest and way too many mistakes. "This is safe, oh wait don't take that." Lol. Or this is a known carcinogen but in small amounts you'll be just fine.
4 • Reply • Share ›

Brian Collecott → Rob DF • 23 days ago • edited
It's ironic that we are advised to 'consult with a physician' regarding many popular and necessary supplements, CoQ10, VitD3 , magnesium etc yet most have not a clue about them and the common (undiagnosed) deficiencies that need to be addressed. When my previous GP learned that I was taking CoQ10 he sent a message to the local pharmacy to warn me off 'dangerous herbs' while I was collecting some Digoxin, after surgery, a product of the common Foxglove.
4 • Reply • Share ›

Louise → Brian Collecott • 23 days ago
If put on a statin your doctor should recommend going on CoQ10, since statins deplete it from the body and you need it for your heart. Shocking how little, if anything, they know about supplements.
4 • Reply • Share ›

orangputeh → Brian Collecott • 21 days ago
My primary care physician is also an alternative medicine doctor. He has his own pharmacy to which he prescribes all sorts of healing supplements. [When needed, he will write a prescription from a normal pharmacy.]
He recommended that I take liquid CoQ10. I'm still taking it even though I have not had to see any doctor for 2 years. I had to take 4 prescriptions and am now off of all of them.
Alternative methods do work. It is the Western medical establishment that wants people to continue to be walking ATM's. Start taking prescriptions and keep taking them for life...$$$. That is the AMA's dream.
1 • Reply • Share ›

IScream2 → Brian Collecott • 22 days ago
I have terrible tinnitus from taking CoQ10 for 2 months about 8 years ago. It was listed as a "minor" potential side effect….
Reply • Share ›

GarySummers → Rob DF • 21 days ago
"Board-Certified Obstetrician Cries 'Stop'"
"Promoting SARS-CoV-2 'genetic vaccination in Pregnancy' is an unprecedented ethical breach"
https://www.theepochtimes.c...
Reply • Share ›

Steve Billiter • 23 days ago • edited
My understanding 41 years ago when my wife was pregnant twice, was that she should not even take a Tylenol when pregnant because everything the mother takes in can affect the unborn child. Vaccines? That is pure madness. We're still in the midst of a CV 19 depopulation agenda--babies, born and unborn, are their favorite target for obvious reasons.
4 • Reply • Share ›

Ed Dirker • 23 days ago
The roles are reversed, COVID dictators now VERY nervous https://www.frontnieuws.com...
4 • Reply • Share ›

BraveNewWhirled • 24 days ago
Not long ago the pharmaceutical companies were a lot more stealthy about their crimes. Now, because they've received little more than a slap on the wrist, they are much more open about their lack of concern (or worse) for humans.
3 • Reply • Share ›

Helene → BraveNewWhirled • 20 days ago
Yes, it is amazing that everything is in the open - maybe with a little bit research - yet there is no public outcry.
1 • Reply • Share ›

sabelmouse • 23 days ago
the irish hse sure recommends both flu and c shots for pregnant women.
2 • Reply • Share ›

Lisa → sabelmouse • 23 days ago
Ive never understood the fuss about flu. Im 60 and always viewed flu as like a very heavy cold. Ive never seen a need for a quackcine. People say they work as they dont get flu. They probably wouldnt get it anyway. By the time you get to 30 your immune system should be well equipt to deal with any cold or flu bug. Its all bloody fearmongering. It sickens me these bastards lies. All they want is money. Servants of mammon like Baal Gates and Fausti.
3 • Reply • Share ›

Karma for Phama • 24 days ago
Pfizer's vaccines may be useful as an industrial paint remover, and should never be used in vivo, since they aren't tested.
My question is - does the new RSV virus show signs of human tampering and where did it originate ?
2 • Reply • Share ›

GarySummers → Karma for Phama • 22 days ago
"Canada approved COVID jabs for kids 5 - 17 a year ago, now ERs are full of 5 - 17 yo kids with 'respiratory' illnesses" "RSV"
They approved the COVID jabs for kids 5 years old and above a year ago. They approved the jabs for infants 6 months old and above just two months ago.

''And now they are reaping what they have sown'' ......

''5 - 17 year old: 3x - 5x higher rates of ER visits compared to normal''
''0 - 4 year old: 2x higher rates of ER visits compared to normal.''

"Respiratory illnesses are running rampant among children, resulting in hospital visits and admissions at far higher rates than normal for this time of year"
https://www.cbc.ca/news/hea...
1 • Reply • Share ›

Ed Dirker • 23 days ago
Elections: Those who vote to elect masters to rule over them condone and legitimize state slavery and are therefore the problem https://www.frontnieuws.com...
1 • Reply • Share ›

WelcomeTheEagle88 • 24 days ago
Hundreds of these neonates and fetal demise are improperly classified in VAERS, that's why the casual observer has a difficult time querying data from VAERS. I've tried to make it easier with a Interactive Dashboard and actual reports already hunted down and ready to read like this:
https://www.vaersaware.com/...
1 • Reply • Share ›

Carrie Lavine • 24 days ago
This article says the Influenza TDap vaccine was never authorized exclusively for pregnant women&therefore Vaccine depopulation-makers had a field day with the EUA when the Covid Plandemic happened&falsely claim as if success cuz supposedly 40%of pregnant women got the Death shot..with No studies proving adverse effects not transferred to fetus..and Databases not reflecting it inducing miscarrlages or infant deaths-as yrs back-total denial of infant mortality linked to HepB shot & with Flu shot..as with MMR shot induced Autism &CDC criminals should have NO say in what they call Safe&Effective for babies as clearly..Pfizer jumping on emergency use authorization for a new chance to Inject pregnant women with Nanotech mRNA for the RSV Bioweapon
1 • Reply • Share ›

correspondence committee • 24 days ago
Maternal mortality in Russia tripled in 2021
Why? Take a wild guess
EDWARD SLAVSQUAT
NOV 9
https://substack.com/app-li...
1 • Reply • Share ›

Beatrice • 24 days ago
Dr. Drew (Pinsky) just uploaded an alarming podcast on utube discussing the current statistics on increase in deaths and discusses other topics relating to pregnancy, children and more. I believe the podcast is dated for Nov 8 or 9.
1 • Reply • Share ›

GarySummers → Beatrice • 23 days ago
'FlasH'
"Children 'Suddenly Dying' – 'It's Through The Roof' – Dr. Peter McCullough"
"Before the COVID-19 vaccines, there were four cases for myocarditis per million..."
https://www.redvoicemedia.c...

IMO: "These Monsters Are Coming For Our Children'!''
"They are coming for Our Children! #3:34 Min Mark!"
'Pls. Bump and Share!' 'Word Must Get Out! T/You!'
'Tricia Lindsay most powerful speech of this year already!'
Reply • Share ›

Sandals • 24 days ago
Working to get the maternal RSV approved by the end of the year. THIS year??🤯
1 • Reply • Share ›

GarySummers • 21 days ago • edited
'May Their Ever Be Justice?'
"Dr. Naomi Wolf: 'CDC Director Rochelle Walensky' Is Responsible for Mass Murder"
"She knew, and she kept saying 'safe and effective.'"
https://vigilantfox.substac...

''Dr. Naomi Wolf: 'The CDC Director' was working with the 'Chinese Communist Party's People's Liberation Army'… the whole time''
https://www.investmentwatch...
Reply • Share ›

orangputeh • 21 days ago

> **Video: Science for Hire - A Gary Null Production**
> Science for Hire takes us on a journey through the most critical scientific issues that directly affect our health and well-being, shedding light on the hidden secrets of the scientific, pharmaceutical, and military industrial complexes. Following a long history of systemic corruption across medical organizations and schools, scientific publications and federal regulatory agencies, we enter a world where pseudo-science and misinformation rules.
>
> Billions of dollars are spent to manipulate and buy the allegiance of elected officials and the media. Those who speak up against modern science's culture of corruption risk having their careers destroyed. Governments operate in lockstep with the pharmaceutical ambitions to erect an unregulated global regime, a "Great Reset," that will dictate what we can eat, what medical interventions are permitted and banned, and the rewards and punishments that legislate our choices.
>
> Science for Hire describes a broken system and offers hard hitting solutions to spark clarion calls to take heed of the realities facing humanity today.

https://rumble.com/v1nk6tu-...
Reply • Share ›

GarySummers • 23 days ago
"Irrefutable Proof of Self Assembly Structures in C19 Shots - Time Lapsed Videos Show Microchip like Structures Assembling and Disassembling - (Updated Video Links)"
Dr. David Nixon, Dr. Shimon Yanowitz and Electrical Engineer Matt Taylor and Dr. Ana Mihalcea.
https://anamihalceamdphd.su...

"Intra-body nano-network"
https://www.docdroid.net/tv...

"What is in the so-called COVID-19 "Vaccines"? Part 1: Evidence of a Global Crime Against Humanity"
https://www.academia.edu/86...

"BOMBSHELL 'Team Enigma Whistleblower'! 'US DoD Plan to Exterminate Population' - Sasha Latypova"
https://rumble.com/v1ris7y-...

"What Else Did They Hide In The Alledged Vaccines"?

see more

Reply • Share ›

GarySummers • 23 days ago
"FOIA reveals 'Pfizer & Medicine Regulators' hid dangers of COVID Vaccination during Pregnancy after Study found it 'increases risk of Birth Defects & Infertility'!"
https://expose-news.com/202...
Reply • Share ›

GarySummers • 23 days ago
''Pfisser/Others have been convicted in the past for falsifying data and other illegal acts. A lot of information has been intentionally withheld, in other cases, whereas there may have not been any information as such (because they didn't bother to look for it / record it), there were definitely misrepresentations as to safety.''

"For 2 years now the websites of drug regulators have clearly stated that there was insufficient information to state that the vaxx was safe for 'pregnant women', 'breastfeeding women', ;children under 18; and so on". Nevertheless, the message presented to the public was not "we can't be sure, but we think it's safe". No, it was a definite "it's safe and effective, for anyone of any age of any health, any dose, any number of doses, any time anywhere". Even now they push a 4th/5th dose of mrna, without having any idea what the

Children's Health Defense™

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Its mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable, and to establish safeguards to prevent future harm.

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2022 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved